



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/595,757

05/09/2006

Masahisa Masuda

5869-0048

6069

73552 7590 12/23/2008

Stolowitz Ford Cowger LLP
621 SW Morrison St
Suite 600
Portland, OR 97205

EXAMINER

JAMAL, ALEXANDER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2614

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/23/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/595,757	Applicant(s) MASUDA ET AL.	
	Examiner ALEXANDER JAMAL	Art Unit 2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 September 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-43 and 45 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 and 44 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Based upon the submitted amendment, the examiner notes that claims 1-27, 44 have been elected and claims 28-43,45 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. **Claims 1-3,5-7,11-13,19,22**, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hietanen (6415034).

As per **claims 1-3,5-7,11-13,19,22**, Hietanen discloses a two way communication device for use in an ear. The device comprises a dsp that implements an adaptive algorithm to generate filter coefficients that are used to subtract (examiner reads an adder and subtractor as the same device) an echo signal from the transmitted signal (Col 5 lines 25-45, Col 7 lines 5-22). The dsp monitors the transmission and reception through transducers. Since the DSP is digital it inherently operates periodically (as per the clocking rate). The device further comprises a VOX (Fig. 4 items 30,32) to control the gain of the transmitted and received signals. The device further comprises A/D and D/A converters 31,33,35,39. The device further comprises a compensation filter (within DSP 34) used to simulate the echo that is subtracted from the outgoing signal (Fig. 3). The adaptive filter (dsp) is reconfigured (adapted) after a predetermined amount of time (determined by the clocking rate).

Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 4,8-10,14,20,21,23-27** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hietanen (6415034) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Fang et al. (6480610).

As per **claims, 4,8-10,14,20,21,23-27**, Hietanen discloses a two way communication device, however, Hietanen does not disclose that the echo cancellation system comprises a test signal being switched on in order to set the parameters of a second filter (in addition to the adaptive echo estimation filter).

Fang discloses an improved echo cancellation algorithm in an ear device. The A/D, D/A converters inherently comprises a low pass filter for the purpose of filtering the output digital signal and the system further comprises attenuators 570a,570m to attenuate both the transmitted and received signals based on a power control. The echo cancelling algorithm comprises utilizing a second filter (training filter) in addition to the primary adaptive filter (Col 4 lines 14-47). The training filter is set by using a training signal impulse that is switched in (switches 594a-594m Fig. 5). Both the training and adaptive filters are periodically updated to adapt for changes in the echo paths. It would have been obvious to utilize the improved echo cancellation algorithm of Fang for the purpose of achieving improved echo cancellation in the device of Hietanen.

5. **Claims 15-18** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hietanen (6415034), and further in view of Fang et al. (6480610) and further in view of Schultz (6357292).

Hietanen and Fang disclose a two way communications device with a digital echo canceller that utilizes a switchable test signal, but they do not disclose that a single transducer

Art Unit: 2614

is used to transmit and receive information.

Schultz discloses a duplex transducer (Fig. 21) coupled to resistive bridge 1322 (Col 27 line 55 to Col 28 line 33) with each direction of communication coupled to a differential amplifier 1132,1334. It further would have been obvious to implement capacitors along with the resistors for the purpose of reducing any high frequency noise from the system. Schultz further discloses a variable resistance element used in order to adjust the transducer circuitry (Col 6 lines 30-45). It would have been obvious to implement a single transducer in the device of Hietanen in view of Fang for the purpose of reducing the number of transducers needed for the device to operate (saving cost).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 571-272-7498. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are **571-273-8300** for regular communications and **571-273-8300** for After Final communications.

/Alexander Jamal/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

Examiner Alexander Jamal

December 24, 2008